

High frequency dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation in high dimensions

FERNANDO CARDOSO, CLAUDIO CUEVAS AND GEORGI VODEV*

Abstract. We prove optimal dispersive estimates at high frequency for the Schrödinger group for a class of real-valued potentials $V(x) = O(\langle x \rangle^{-\delta})$, $\delta > n-1$, and $V \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $k > k_n$, where $n \geq 4$ and $\frac{n-3}{2} \leq k_n < \frac{n}{2}$. We also give a sufficient condition in terms of $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ bounds for the formal iterations of Duhamel's formula, which might be satisfied for potentials of less regularity.

1 Introduction and statement of results

The purpose of this work is to study the question of finding as large as possible class of real-valued potentials $V \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $n \geq 4$, for which the Schrödinger propagator $e^{itG}\chi_a(G)$ satisfies optimal (that is, without loss of derivatives) $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ dispersive estimates, where G denotes the self-adjoint realization of the operator $-\Delta + V$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $\chi_a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, $\chi_a(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \leq a$, $\chi_a(\lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \geq a+1$, $a \gg 1$. To state our results we need to introduce the class $\mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\delta, k \geq 0$, of all functions $V \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|V\|_{\mathcal{C}_\delta^k} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k_0} \langle x \rangle^\delta |\partial_x^\alpha V(x)| \\ &+ \nu \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{|\beta|=k_0} \langle x \rangle^\delta \sup_{x' \in \mathbf{R}^n: |x-x'| \leq 1} \frac{|\partial_x^\beta V(x) - \partial_x^\beta V(x')|}{|x-x'|^\nu} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_0 \geq 0$ is an integer and $\nu = k - k_0$ satisfies $0 \leq \nu < 1$.

Theorem 1.1 *Given a $\delta > n-1$, there exists a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=4}^\infty$, $\frac{n-3}{2} \leq k_n < \frac{n}{2}$, so that if $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $k > k_n$, is a real-valued potential, then we have the following high frequency dispersive estimate*

$$\left\| e^{itG} \chi_a(G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C |t|^{-n/2}, \quad t \neq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant $C = C(a) > 0$ is independent of t .

Remark. It follows from this theorem and the low frequency dispersive estimates proved in [11] that if in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 (or those of Theorem 1.2 below) we assume that zero is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance of G , then we have the following dispersive estimate

$$\left\| e^{itG} P_{ac} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C |t|^{-n/2}, \quad t \neq 0,$$

*Corresponding author

where P_{ac} denotes the spectral projection onto the absolutely continuous spectrum of G .

Note that with $k_n = \frac{n}{2}$ the above result follows from [11] where the estimate (1.1) is proved for real-valued potentials $V \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$|V(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

with constants $C > 0$, $\delta > n - 1$, as well as the condition

$$\hat{V} \in L^1. \quad (1.3)$$

Previously this has been proved in [9] for potentials satisfying (1.2) with $\delta > n$ and (1.3). Proving (1.1) in dimensions $n \geq 4$ without the condition (1.3), however, turns out to be a difficult problem. Note that the potentials in the above theorem do not satisfy (1.3). On the other hand, the counterexample of [8] shows that the above theorem cannot hold with $k_n < \frac{n-3}{2}$. Therefore, it is natural to expect that Theorem 1.1 holds with $k_n = \frac{n-3}{2}$. Indeed, (1.1) has been proved in [2] when $n = 4, 5$ for potentials $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $k > \frac{n-3}{2}$, $\delta > 3$ if $n = 4$, $\delta > 5$ if $n = 5$. In [3] an analogue of (1.1) with a logarithmic loss of derivatives has been proved for potentials $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $n = 4, 5$, where $\delta > 3$ if $n = 4$, $\delta > 5$ if $n = 5$. The estimate (1.1) has been recently proved in [4] when $n = 5, 7$ for potentials V satisfying (1.2) with $\delta > \frac{3n+5}{2}$ as well as $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where $\delta > 3$ if $n = 5$, $\delta > 8$ if $n = 7$. It also follows from [5], [17] that (1.1) holds for potentials V satisfying (1.2) with $\delta > n + 2$ as well as $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\delta > \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1}$, $k > \frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{1}{n-1}$. Note finally that in dimensions one, two and three no regularity of the potential is required in order that (1.1) holds true (see [7],[12],[10],[13],[14],[6]). The same conclusion remains true in dimensions $n \geq 4$ as far as the low and the intermediate frequencies are concerned (see [11], [15]).

To prove (1.1) we make use of the semi-classical expansion of the operator $e^{itG}\psi(h^2G)$ obtained in [1] for potentials satisfying (1.2) with $\delta > \frac{n+2}{2}$, where $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0, +\infty))$ and $0 < h \ll 1$. We thus reduce the problem to estimating uniformly in h the $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norm of a finite number of operators (denoted by $T_j(t, h)$ below) obtained by iterating the semi-classical Duhamel formula. The advantage is that these operators are defined in terms of the free propagator $e^{itG_0}\psi(h^2G_0)$, where G_0 denotes the self-adjoint realization of $-\Delta$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see Section 2).

In the present paper we also give a sufficient condition for (1.1) to hold in terms of properties of the formal iterations of Duhamel's formula defined as follows (for $t > 0$):

$$\mathcal{F}_0(t) = e^{itG_0}, \quad \mathcal{F}_j(t) = i \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_{j-1}(t-\tau)V\mathcal{F}_0(\tau)d\tau, \quad j \geq 1.$$

We suppose that there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all integers $m \geq 1$, $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$, we have the bounds

$$\|\mathcal{F}_m(t)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_m t^{-n/2+\varepsilon m}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\left\| \int_{I(\gamma)} \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)V\mathcal{F}_{m_2}(\tau)d\tau \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_{m_1, m_2} \gamma^\varepsilon t^{-n/2}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $I(\gamma) \subset [0, t]$ is an interval either of the form $[0, \gamma_1]$ or of the form $[t - \gamma_1, t]$, $\gamma_1 = t/2$ if $t \leq 2\gamma$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ if $t \geq 2\gamma$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let V satisfy (1.2) with $\delta > n$ and suppose (1.4) and (1.5) fulfilled. Then, the dispersive estimate (1.1) holds true for all $t > 0$.*

It is easy to see that if V satisfies (1.3), then (1.4) and (1.5) hold with $\varepsilon = 1$. However, it might happen that (1.4) and (1.5) hold true for potentials of less regularity. In fact, we expect that (1.4) and (1.5) hold for potentials $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\delta > \frac{n+1}{2}$, $k > \frac{n-3}{2}$. Indeed, this has been proved in [2] for $m = 1$, $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. The problem, however, gets much harder for $m \geq 2$, $m_1, m_2 \geq 1$.

To prove Theorem 1.2 we take advantage of the analysis carried out in [15] under the only assumption that V satisfies (1.2) with $\delta > \frac{n+2}{2}$ (see Section 5).

2 Reduction to semi-classical dispersive estimates

Set

$$F(t) = i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} V e^{i\tau G_0} d\tau, \quad t > 0.$$

It is easy to see that (1.1) is a consequence of the following

Theorem 2.1 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the following dispersive estimates hold true for all $0 < h \ll 1$, $t > 0$:*

$$\|F(t)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-n/2}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\left\| e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) - e^{itG_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) - F(t) \psi(h^2 G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq Ch^\beta t^{-n/2}, \quad (2.2)$$

with some constants $C, \beta > 0$ independent of t and h .

The estimate (2.1) is proved in [2] for potentials $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\delta > n - 1$, $k > \frac{n-3}{2}$. In what follows we will derive (2.2) from the semi-classical expansion obtained in [1] and based on the following semi-classical version of Duhamel's formula

$$e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) = Q(h) e^{itG_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \psi(h^2 G) + i \int_0^t Q(h) \psi_1(h^2 G_0) e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} V e^{i\tau G} \psi(h^2 G) d\tau, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\psi_1 \in C_0^\infty((0, +\infty))$, $\psi_1 = 1$ on $\text{supp } \psi$, and

$$Q(h) = \left(1 + \psi_1(h^2 G_0) - \psi_1(h^2 G) \right)^{-1}.$$

Iterating (2.3) m times we get

$$e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) = \sum_{j=0}^m T_j(t, h) + \int_0^t R_m(t - \tau, h) e^{i\tau G} \psi(h^2 G) d\tau, \quad (2.4)$$

$$T_0(t, h) = Q(h) e^{itG_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \psi(h^2 G),$$

$$T_j(t, h) = \int_0^t R_{j-1}(t - \tau, h) T_0(\tau, h) d\tau, \quad j \geq 1,$$

where the operators R_j are defined as follows

$$R_0(t, h) = iQ(h) e^{itG_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) V,$$

$$R_j(t, h) = \int_0^t R_{j-1}(t - \tau, h) R_0(\tau, h) d\tau, \quad j \geq 1.$$

The following dispersive estimates are proved in [1] (see Theorem 1.3) (it is easy to see that the ϵ there can be taken zero).

Proposition 2.2 *Assume that V satisfies (1.2) with $\delta > \frac{n+2}{2}$. Then the following dispersive estimates hold true for all $t > 0$, $0 < h \ll 1$,*

$$\|T_j(t, h)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_j h^{j-n/2} t^{-n/2}, \quad j \geq 1, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left\| e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) - \sum_{j=0}^m T_j(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_m h^{m+1-n/2} t^{-n/2}, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

We have (e.g. see Lemma A.1 of [11])

$$\psi_1(h^2 G_0) - \psi_1(h^2 G) = O(h^2) : L^1 \rightarrow L^1,$$

so

$$Q(h) = Id + O(h^2) : L^1 \rightarrow L^1.$$

Therefore,

$$\|T_0(t, h) - e^{itG_0} \psi(h^2 G_0)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^2 t^{-n/2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Clearly, the estimate (2.2) follows from combining Proposition 2.2, (2.7) and the following

Proposition 2.3 *Given a $\delta > n - 1$, there exists a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=4}^\infty$, $\frac{n-3}{2} \leq k_n < \frac{n}{2}$, so that if $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $k > k_n$, then we have the estimates*

$$\|T_1(t, h) - F(t) \psi(h^2 G_0)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^\beta t^{-n/2}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|T_j(t, h)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^\beta t^{-n/2}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n/2, \quad (2.9)$$

with some constants $C, \beta > 0$ independent of h and t .

3 Proof of Proposition 2.3

Set

$$\tilde{T}_1(t, h) = T_1(t, h) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) V e^{i\tau G_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) d\tau.$$

It is proved in [2] (see Proposition 2.6) that if $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\delta > n - 1$, $k > (n - 3)/2$, then

$$\left\| i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) V e^{i\tau G_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) d\tau - F(t) \psi(h^2 G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^\beta t^{-n/2} \quad (3.1)$$

with constants $C, \beta > 0$ independent of h and t . Therefore, to prove (2.8) it suffices to show that the operator $\tilde{T}_1$ satisfies the estimate

$$\|\tilde{T}_1(t, h)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^\beta t^{-n/2} \quad (3.2)$$

with constants $C, \beta > 0$ independent of h and t . It is easy also to see that the operators T_j , $j \geq 2$, are of the form $Q(h) \tilde{T}_j(t, h) \psi(h^2 G)$. Therefore, it suffices to prove (2.9) with T_j replaced by $\tilde{T}_j$.

Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\rho \geq 0$, be a real-valued function such that $\int \rho(x)dx = 1$, and set $\rho_\theta(x) = \theta^{-n}\rho(x/\theta)$, where $0 < \theta \leq 1$. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\delta > n - 1$, where k will be fixed later on such that $\frac{n-3}{2} < k < \frac{n}{2}$. Set $V_\theta = V * \rho_\theta$. It is easy to see that we have the bounds

$$|V_\theta(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|V_\theta(x) - V(x)| \leq C\theta^{1/2}\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (3.4)$$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha V_\theta(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq k_0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha V_\theta(x)| \leq C_\alpha \theta^{k-|\alpha|}\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, |\alpha| \geq k_0 + 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where $k - 1 < k_0 \leq k$ is an integer. Let us also see that

$$\|\widehat{V}_\theta\|_{L^1} \leq C_\epsilon \theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon}, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \ll 1. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset H^{k-\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\forall 0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we have $\langle \xi \rangle^{k-\epsilon/2} \widehat{V}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence $\widehat{V} \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{n+\epsilon}$. We have

$$\|\widehat{V}_\theta\|_{L^1} = \|\widehat{V} \widehat{\rho}_\theta\|_{L^1} \leq \|\widehat{V}\|_{L^p} \|\widehat{\rho}_\theta\|_{L^q} = C\theta^{-n/q},$$

where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{n+\epsilon}$, which clearly implies (3.7).

Let G_θ denote the self-adjoint realization of $-\Delta + V_\theta(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Denote also by $Q_\theta(h)$ the operator obtained by replacing in the definition of $Q(h)$ the operator G by G_θ . Define the operators $\widetilde{T}_{j,\theta}$ by replacing $Q(h)$ and V by $Q_\theta(h)$ and V_θ , respectively, in the definition of $\widetilde{T}_j$. In the case of $\widetilde{T}_1$ we replace only those V and $Q(h)$ staying between the operators $e^{i(t-\tau)G_0}$ and $e^{i\tau G_0}$. Using (3.3) and (3.4) we will prove the following

Proposition 3.1 *The following dispersive estimates hold for all $t > 0$, $0 < h \ll 1$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$,*

$$\|\widetilde{T}_j(t, h) - \widetilde{T}_{j,\theta}(t, h)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C\theta^{1/2}h^{j-n/2}t^{-n/2}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n/2. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{T}_1(t, h) - \widetilde{T}_{1,\theta}(t, h) \\ &= iQ(h) \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) (VQ(h) - V_\theta Q_\theta(h)) e^{i\tau G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) d\tau \left(\psi(h^2 G) - \psi(h^2 G_0) \right) \\ & \quad + i(Q(h) - 1) \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) (VQ(h) - V_\theta Q_\theta(h)) e^{i\tau G_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) d\tau \\ & \quad + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) (V(Q(h) - 1) - V_\theta(Q_\theta(h) - 1)) e^{i\tau G_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) d\tau \\ & =: \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{P}_\theta^{(j)}(t, h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Define the operators $F_j(t, h)$, $F_{j,\theta}(t, h)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, by

$$F_0(t, h) = F_{0,\theta}(t, h) = e^{itG_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0),$$

$$F_j(t, h) = i \int_0^t F_0(t - \tau, h) V Q(h) F_{j-1}(\tau, h) d\tau, \quad j \geq 1,$$

$$F_{j,\theta}(t, h) = i \int_0^t F_0(t - \tau, h) V_\theta Q_\theta(h) F_{j-1,\theta}(\tau, h) d\tau, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Clearly, $\tilde{T}_j = F_j$, $\tilde{T}_{j,\theta} = F_{j,\theta}$ for $j \geq 2$. We write

$$F_j(t, h) - F_{j,\theta}(t, h) = i \int_0^t F_0(t - \tau, h) (V Q(h) - V_\theta Q_\theta(h)) F_{j-1,\theta}(\tau, h) d\tau$$

$$+ i \int_0^t F_0(t - \tau, h) V Q(h) (F_{j-1}(\tau, h) - F_{j-1,\theta}(\tau, h)) d\tau. \quad (3.10)$$

Let us see that (3.8) follows from the following estimates

Proposition 3.2 *For all $t > 0$, $0 < h \ll 1$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$, $1/2 - \epsilon/2 \leq s \leq (n-1)/2$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $j \geq 0$, we have the estimates*

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} F_j(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_j h^{j+s-(n-1)/2} t^{-s-1/2}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} (F_j(t, h) - F_{j,\theta}(t, h)) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_j \theta^{1/2} h^{j+s-(n-1)/2} t^{-s-1/2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Remark. The estimate (3.11) with $j = 0$ holds true for all $t \neq 0$. In other words, the adjoint of the operator

$$\mathcal{A} = F_0(t, h) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} : L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$$

satisfies (3.11) with $j = 0$, and hence so does $\mathcal{A}$. This will be often used below.

We need the following

Lemma 3.3 *For all $0 < h \leq h_0$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq \delta$, we have the bounds*

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} Q(h) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} (Q(h) - Q_\theta(h)) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C \theta^{1/2}, \quad (3.14)$$

with constants $C, h_0 > 0$ independent of h and θ .

Proof. Clearly, (3.13) follows from the bound

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} \left(\psi_1(h^2 G) - \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \right) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C h^2, \quad (3.15)$$

proved in [16] (see Lemma 2.3). To prove (3.14) we write

$$Q(h) - Q_\theta(h) = \left(\psi_1(h^2 G_\theta) - \psi_1(h^2 G) \right) Q(h) + \left(\psi_1(h^2 G_\theta) - \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \right) (Q(h) - Q_\theta(h)).$$

Therefore, (3.14) follows from combining (3.13), (3.15) and the bound

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} \left(\psi_1(h^2 G) - \psi_1(h^2 G_\theta) \right) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C \theta^{1/2} h^2. \quad (3.16)$$

To prove (3.16) we will use the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula

$$\psi_1(h^2G) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) (h^2G - z^2)^{-1} z L(dz), \quad (3.17)$$

where $L(dz)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{C}$, $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{C})$ is an almost analytic continuation of $\varphi(\lambda) = \psi_1(\lambda^2)$, supported in a small complex neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \varphi$ and satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) \right| \leq C_N |\text{Im } z|^N, \quad \forall N \geq 1.$$

In view of (3.17) we can write

$$\psi_1(h^2G) - \psi_1(h^2G_\theta) = \frac{2h^2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) (h^2G_\theta - z^2)^{-1} (V_\theta - V) (h^2G - z^2)^{-1} z L(dz). \quad (3.18)$$

It is shown in [16] (see the proof of Lemma 2.3) that the free resolvent satisfies the bound (for $z \in \text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}$)

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} (h^2G_0 - z^2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_1 |\text{Im } z|^{-q}, \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0, \quad (3.19)$$

with constants $C_1, q > 0$ independent of z and h . By (3.19) and the identity

$$(h^2G - z^2)^{-1} = (h^2G_0 - z^2)^{-1} - h^2(h^2G - z^2)^{-1} V (h^2G_0 - z^2)^{-1},$$

we obtain (for $z \in \text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}$, $0 \leq s \leq \delta$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} (h^2G - z^2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} &\leq \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} (h^2G_0 - z^2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \\ &\quad + Ch^2 \left\| (h^2G - z^2)^{-1} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} (h^2G_0 - z^2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \\ &\leq C_2 |\text{Im } z|^{-q-1}, \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By (3.4), (3.18) and (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} \left(\psi_1(h^2G) - \psi_1(h^2G_\theta) \right) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \\ &\leq C\theta^{1/2} h^2 \int_{\mathbf{C}} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) \right| \left\| (h^2G_\theta - z^2)^{-1} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} (h^2G - z^2)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} L(dz) \\ &\leq C\theta^{1/2} h^2 \int_{\mathbf{C}} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) \right| |\text{Im } z|^{-q-2} L(dz) \leq C\theta^{1/2} h^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

□

Using (3.3), (3.4), Lemma 3.3 and (3.11) with $j = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathcal{P}_\theta^{(3)}(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\theta^{1/2} \int_0^{t/2} \left\| e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2G_0) \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} e^{i\tau G_0} \psi(h^2G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + C\theta^{1/2} \int_{t/2}^t \left\| e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2G_0) \langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon} e^{i\tau G_0} \psi(h^2G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\theta^{1/2}t^{-n/2}h^{1-n/2-\epsilon/2}\int_0^h\tau^{-1+\epsilon/2}d\tau + C\theta^{1/2}t^{-n/2}h^{1-n/2+\epsilon/2}\int_h^\infty\tau^{-1-\epsilon/2}d\tau \\
&\leq C\theta^{1/2}t^{-n/2}h^{1-n/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ norm of the operators $\mathcal{P}_\theta^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$, can be bounded in the same way. Let now $j \geq 2$. Using (3.10), Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F_j(t, h) - F_{j,\theta}(t, h)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\leq C\theta^{1/2}\int_0^{t/2}\left\|F_0(t-\tau, h)\langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}\left\|\langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}F_{j-1,\theta}(t, h)\right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2}d\tau \\
&\quad + C\theta^{1/2}\int_{t/2}^t\left\|F_0(t-\tau, h)\langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}\left\|\langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon}F_{j-1,\theta}(t, h)\right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2}d\tau \\
&\quad + C\int_0^{t/2}\left\|F_0(t-\tau, h)\langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}\left\|\langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}(F_{j-1}(\tau, h) - F_{j-1,\theta}(\tau, h))\right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2}d\tau \\
&\quad + C\int_{t/2}^t\left\|F_0(t-\tau, h)\langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty}\left\|\langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon}(F_{j-1}(\tau, h) - F_{j-1,\theta}(\tau, h))\right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2}d\tau \\
&\leq C\theta^{1/2}t^{-n/2}h^{j-n/2-\epsilon/2}\int_0^h\tau^{-1+\epsilon/2}d\tau + C\theta^{1/2}t^{-n/2}h^{j-n/2+\epsilon/2}\int_h^\infty\tau^{-1-\epsilon/2}d\tau \\
&\leq C\theta^{1/2}t^{-n/2}h^{j-n/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.2. The estimate (3.11) with $j = 0$ is proved in [15] (see (2.1)). By induction in j , it is easy to see that (3.11) for any j follows from this and the following well-known estimate

$$\left\|\langle x \rangle^{-s}e^{itG_0}\psi_1(h^2G_0)\langle x \rangle^{-s}\right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C\langle t/h \rangle^{-s}, \quad s \geq 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly, using (3.10) together with Lemma 3.3, one can easily get (3.12). □

4 Study of the operators $\widetilde{T}_{j,\theta}$

We will first show that the estimates (2.9) and (3.2) follow from Proposition 3.1 and the following

Proposition 4.1 *Let $V \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\delta > n - 1$, $\frac{n-3}{2} < k < \frac{n}{2}$. Then, there exist a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $p_j > 0$, depending on δ but independent of k , so that for all $0 < h \ll 1$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $t > 0$, $j \geq 1$, satisfying*

$$h^2\theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon} \ll 1, \quad (4.1)$$

we have the estimate

$$\left\|\widetilde{T}_{j,\theta}(t, h)\right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_j h^{\varepsilon_0} t^{-n/2} + C_{j,\epsilon} h^{p_j} \theta^{-j(n/2-k+\epsilon)} t^{-n/2}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $C_j, C_{j,\epsilon} > 0$ are independent of t, h and θ .

Fix an integer $1 \leq j \leq n/2$. Take $\theta = h^{n+1-2j}$ and set

$$k_n^{(j)} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{\min \left\{ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{p_j}{j} \right\}}{n+1-2j}.$$

It is easy to see that if $k_n^{(j)} < k < n/2$ and ϵ is taken small enough, we can arrange (4.1), and the estimates (3.8) and (4.2) imply

$$\left\| \tilde{T}_j(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^\beta t^{-n/2}, \quad (4.3)$$

with some $C, \beta > 0$. Thus, taking

$$k_n = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n/2} k_n^{(j)}$$

we get the desired result.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We need the following

Lemma 4.2 *For all $0 < \theta \leq 1$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we have the estimate*

$$\left\| e^{-itG_0} V_\theta e^{itG_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq C_\epsilon \theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon}, \quad (4.4)$$

with a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ independent of t and θ . Moreover, given any integer $m \geq 1$, the operator $Q_\theta(h)$ can be decomposed as $P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) + P_m^{(2)}(h, \theta)$, where the operator $P_m^{(1)}$ satisfies the estimate

$$\left\| e^{-itG_0} P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) e^{itG_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq 2, \quad (4.5)$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $0 < h \ll 1$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$ such that (4.1) holds, while the operator $P_m^{(2)}$ satisfies the estimate

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} P_m^{(2)}(h, \theta) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_m h^{2m+2}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \delta, \quad (4.6)$$

where $C_m > 0$ is independent of h and θ .

Proof. The estimate (4.4) follows from (3.7) and the following estimate proved in [9]:

$$\left\| e^{-itG_0} V_\theta e^{itG_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq \left\| \widehat{V}_\theta \right\|_{L^1}. \quad (4.7)$$

To decompose the operator $Q_\theta(h)$ we will use the formula (3.17) together with the resolvent identity

$$\begin{aligned} (h^2 G_\theta - z^2)^{-1} - (h^2 G_0 - z^2)^{-1} &= \sum_{j=1}^m (h^2 G_0 - z^2)^{-1} \left(-h^2 V_\theta (h^2 G_0 - z^2)^{-1} \right)^j \\ &+ (h^2 G_\theta - z^2)^{-1} \left(-h^2 V_\theta (h^2 G_0 - z^2)^{-1} \right)^{m+1} := \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_m^{(\ell)}(z, h, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$M_m^{(\ell)}(h, \theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) \mathcal{M}_m^{(\ell)}(z, h, \theta) z L(dz),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) &= \left(1 - M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta)\right)^{-1}, \\
P_m^{(2)}(h, \theta) &= \left(1 - M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) - M_m^{(2)}(h, \theta)\right)^{-1} - \left(1 - M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta)\right)^{-1} \\
&= \left(1 - M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) - M_m^{(2)}(h, \theta)\right)^{-1} M_m^{(2)}(h, \theta) \left(1 - M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta)\right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We need now the following well known estimate (e.g. see (2.14) of [11]):

$$\left\| \left(h^2 G_0 - z^2\right)^{-1} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq C |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-q}, \quad (4.8)$$

for $z \in \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\varphi}$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, $0 < h \leq 1$, where the constants $C, q > 0$ are independent of z and h . By (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$\left\| e^{-itG_0} \mathcal{M}_m^{(1)}(z, h, \theta) e^{itG_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \left\| h^2 \widehat{V}_\theta \right\|_{L^1}^j |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-q(j+1)}. \quad (4.9)$$

By (3.7) and (4.9), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| e^{-itG_0} M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) e^{itG_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} &\leq C_m \left\| h^2 \widehat{V}_\theta \right\|_{L^1} \left(1 + \left\| h^2 \widehat{V}_\theta \right\|_{L^1}\right)^{m-1} \\
&\leq \tilde{C}_m h^2 \theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon} \leq 1/2,
\end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

provided (4.1) is satisfied. Clearly, (4.5) follows from (4.10). On the other hand, it is easy to see that (4.6) follows from the estimates

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} M_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_m h^2, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s} M_m^{(2)}(h, \theta) \langle x \rangle^s \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_m h^{2m+2}, \quad (4.12)$$

which in turn follow from (3.19) and (3.20) (which clearly holds with G replaced by G_θ). $\square$

Define the operators $\tilde{T}_{j,\theta}^b$ by replacing in the definition of $\tilde{T}_{j,\theta}$ the operator $Q_\theta(h)$ by $P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta)$. In precisely the same way as in the proof of (3.8) above, using (4.6) instead of (3.14), we get

$$\left\| \tilde{T}_{j,\theta}(t, h) - \tilde{T}_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^{2m+2+j-n/2} t^{-n/2} \leq \tilde{C} h t^{-n/2}, \quad (4.13)$$

provided m is taken big enough. Therefore, it suffices to prove (4.2) with $\tilde{T}_{j,\theta}$ replaced by $\tilde{T}_{j,\theta}^b$. We will first do so for $j = 1$. Let $0 < \gamma \ll 1$ be a parameter to be fixed later on, depending on h . For $t \geq 2\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \tilde{T}_{1,\theta}^b(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} &\leq C h^2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) e^{i\tau G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) d\tau \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\quad + C \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) V_\theta \left(P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) - 1\right) e^{i\tau G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) d\tau \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\leq C t^{-n/2} \left(\int_0^\gamma + \int_{t-\gamma}^t \right) \left(\left\| e^{-i\tau G_0} V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) e^{i\tau G_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} + \left\| e^{-i\tau G_0} V_\theta e^{i\tau G_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \right) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +Ch^2 \int_{\gamma}^{t/2} \left\| e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2+1-\epsilon'} e^{i\tau G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \\
& +Ch^2 \int_{t/2}^{t-\gamma} \left\| e^{i(t-\tau)G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \langle x \rangle^{-n/2+1-\epsilon'} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} e^{i\tau G_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C\gamma \theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon} t^{-n/2} + Ch t^{-n/2} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} \tau^{-n/2+1-\epsilon'} d\tau \\
& = C\gamma \theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon} t^{-n/2} + C\gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} h t^{-n/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.2 together with (4.11) and (3.11) (with $j = 0$). Clearly, (4.14) still holds for $0 < t \leq 2\gamma$. Choosing γ such that $\gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} = h^{-1/2}$, we deduce the desired estimate from (4.14).

Let now $j \geq 2$. Then $\tilde{T}_{j,\theta}^b = F_{j,\theta}^b$, where the operators $F_{j,\theta}^b$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, are defined as follows

$$F_{0,\theta}^b(t, h) = F_0(t, h) = e^{itG_0} \psi_1(h^2 G_0),$$

$$F_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) = i \int_0^t F_0(t - \tau, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) F_{j-1,\theta}^b(\tau, h) d\tau, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Let $0 < \gamma \ll 1$ be a parameter to be fixed later on, depending on h . By Lemma 4.2, for $0 < t \leq 2j\gamma$, $j \geq 1$, we get

$$\left\| F_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C\theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon} t^{-n/2} \int_0^{2j\gamma} \left\| e^{-i\tau G_0} F_{j-1,\theta}^b(\tau, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} d\tau. \tag{4.15}$$

By induction in j , it is easy to see that we have the bound

$$\left\| e^{-itG_0} F_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq C_j t^j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)}, \quad \forall j \geq 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Clearly, (4.16) is trivial for $j = 0$. Suppose that it holds for $j - 1$. By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| e^{-itG_0} F_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} & \leq C\theta^{k-n/2-\epsilon} \int_0^t \left\| e^{-i\tau G_0} F_{j-1,\theta}^b(\tau, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} d\tau \\
& \leq C'_j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)} \int_0^t \tau^{j-1} d\tau = C_j t^j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.16) for j . By (4.15) and (4.16), we conclude

$$\left\| F_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_j \gamma^j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)} t^{-n/2}, \quad 0 < t \leq 2j\gamma, \tag{4.17}$$

with a constant $C_j > 0$ independent of t, h, θ and γ . We would like to obtain a similar estimate when $t \geq 2j\gamma$. To this end, decompose $F_{j,\theta}^b$ as follows

$$F_{j,\theta}^b(t, h) = i \left(\int_0^\gamma + \int_\gamma^{t-\gamma} + \int_{t-\gamma}^t \right) F_0(t - \tau, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) F_{j-1,\theta}^b(\tau, h) d\tau =: \sum_{\ell=1}^3 E_{j,\theta}^{(\ell)}(t, h, \gamma).$$

By Lemma 4.2 and (4.16),

$$\left\| E_{j,\theta}^{(1)}(t, h, \gamma) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_j \gamma^j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)} t^{-n/2}. \tag{4.18}$$

Clearly, the estimate (3.11) holds with F_j replaced by $F_{j,\theta}^b$. Using this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| E_{j,\theta}^{(2)}(t, h, \gamma) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
& \leq C \int_{\gamma}^{t/2} \left\| F_0(t - \tau, h) \langle x \rangle^{-n/2 - \epsilon'} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2 + 1 - \epsilon'} F_{j-1,\theta}^b(\tau, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \\
& + C \int_{t/2}^{t-\gamma} \left\| F_0(t - \tau, h) \langle x \rangle^{-n/2 + 1 - \epsilon'} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2 - \epsilon'} F_{j-1,\theta}^b(\tau, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C h^{j-2+\epsilon'/2} t^{-n/2} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} \tau^{-n/2+1-\epsilon'} d\tau = C_j \gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} h^{j-2+\epsilon'/2} t^{-n/2}, \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

with constants $C_j, \epsilon' > 0$ independent of t, h, θ and γ (ϵ' depending only on δ). Similarly, using (3.22), we also get

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2 - \epsilon'} E_{j,\theta}^{(2)}(t, h, \gamma) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_j \gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} h^{n/2+j-2+\epsilon'/2} t^{-n/2}. \tag{4.20}$$

In what follows we will show that the operator $E_{j,\theta}^{(3)}$ satisfies the estimate

$$\left\| E_{j,\theta}^{(3)}(t, h, \gamma) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_j \gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} h^{j-2+\epsilon'/2} t^{-n/2} + C_j \gamma^j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)} t^{-n/2}, \quad t \geq 2j\gamma. \tag{4.21}$$

To this end, it suffices to show that modulo operators satisfying (4.19), the operator $E_{j,\theta}^{(3)}$ is a finite sum of operators of the form

$$\int_{I_1} \int_{I_2} \dots \int_{I_j} F_0(t - \tau_1, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) F_0(\tau_1 - \tau_2, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) \dots V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) F_0(\tau_j, h) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \dots d\tau_j, \tag{4.22}$$

where $I_\nu, \nu = 1, \dots, j$, are intervals of length $|I_\nu| = O(\gamma)$. Indeed, by Lemma 4.2 an operator of form (4.22) satisfies (4.18). We will show that given any integer $1 \leq \nu \leq j-1$, the operator $E_{j,\theta}^{(3)}$ can be written in the form

$$\int_0^\gamma \dots \int_0^\gamma F_0(\tau'_1, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) \dots F_0(\tau'_\nu, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) E_{j-\nu,\theta}^{(3)}(t - \tau'_1 - \dots - \tau'_\nu, h, \gamma) d\tau'_1 \dots d\tau'_\nu, \tag{4.23}$$

modulo operators satisfying (4.19) and operators of the form (4.22). This would imply the desired result because the operator (4.23) with $\nu = j-1$ is of the form (4.22). We will proceed by induction in ν . Let us see that the claim holds true for $\nu = 1$. We write

$$E_{j,\theta}^{(3)}(t, h, \gamma) = \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \int_0^\gamma F_0(\tau'_1, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) E_{j-1,\theta}^{(\ell)}(t - \tau'_1, h) d\tau'_1. \tag{4.24}$$

Clearly, the first operator in the sum in the right-hand side of (4.24) is of the form (4.22), while the third one is of the form (4.23) with $\nu = 1$. On the other hand, using (3.11) with $j = 0$, $s = 1/2 - \epsilon/2$, together with (4.20), it is easy to see that the second one satisfies (4.19). Suppose now that the claim holds true for some ν , $1 \leq \nu \leq j-2$. Then we decompose the operator in (4.23) as follows

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^3 \int_0^\gamma \dots \int_0^\gamma F_0(\tau'_1, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) \dots F_0(\tau'_{\nu+1}, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta)$$

$$\times E_{j-\nu-1,\theta}^{(\ell)}(t - \tau'_1 - \dots - \tau'_{\nu+1}, h, \gamma) d\tau'_1 \dots d\tau'_{\nu+1}. \quad (4.25)$$

Clearly, the first operator in the sum in (4.25) is of the form (4.22), while the third one is of the form (4.23) with $\nu + 1$. Therefore, to prove the claim it suffices to show that the second one satisfies (4.19). However, this follows easily from (4.20) and the following consequence of (3.11) with $j = 0$, $s = 1/2 - \epsilon/2$, and (3.22):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| F_0(\tau'_1, h) V_\theta P_m^{(1)}(h, \theta) \dots F_0(\tau'_{\nu+1}, h) \langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\ & \leq C_{\epsilon, \nu} h^{1-n/2-\epsilon/2} (\tau'_1)^{-1+\epsilon/2} \langle \tau'_2/h \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} \dots \langle \tau'_{\nu+1}/h \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

for every $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

By (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) and (4.21), we conclude that the operators $F_{j,\theta}^\flat$, $j \geq 2$, satisfy the estimate

$$\left\| F_{j,\theta}^\flat(t, h) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C_j \gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} h^{j-2+\epsilon'/2} t^{-n/2} + C_j \gamma^j \theta^{j(k-n/2-\epsilon)} t^{-n/2}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.27)$$

Choosing γ such that

$$\gamma^{-n/2+2-\epsilon'} = h^{-j+2-\epsilon'/4},$$

we deduce the desired estimate from (4.27). $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

It is easy to see that Theorem 1.2 follows from the following

Theorem 5.1 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, there exist an integer m and constants $C, \beta > 0$ such that the following dispersive estimates hold true for all $0 < h \ll 1$, $t > 0$:*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_j(t)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C t^{-n/2}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\left\| e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) - \sum_{j=0}^m \mathcal{F}_j(t) \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C h^\beta t^{-n/2}. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Let us first see that (5.1) holds for all $j \geq 0$. It is trivial for $j = 0$, while when $0 < t \leq 2$ it follows from (1.4). Let now $t \geq 2$. We will proceed by induction in j . Suppose that (5.1) holds for $j - 1$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_1^{t-1} \mathcal{F}_{j-1}(t - \tau) V \mathcal{F}_0(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq \|V\|_{L^1} \int_1^{t-1} \|\mathcal{F}_{j-1}(t - \tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}_0(\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_1^{t-1} (t - \tau)^{-n/2} \tau^{-n/2} d\tau \leq C' t^{-n/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Clearly, (5.1) for j follows from (5.3) and (1.5) applied with $\gamma = 1$, $m_1 = j - 1$, $m_2 = 0$.

Let $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ be a parameter to be fixed later on, depending on h . Set $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ if $t \geq 2\gamma$, $\gamma_1 = t/2$ if $t \leq 2\gamma$. Iterating Duhamel's formula we obtain the identity

$$e^{itG} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_1} \mathcal{F}_j(t) + i \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t - \tau) V \left(e^{i\tau G} - e^{i\tau G_0} \right) d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=0}^{m_1} \mathcal{F}_j(t) + i \int_{\gamma_1}^t \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau) V \left(e^{i\tau G} - e^{i\tau G_0} \right) d\tau \\
&+ i \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_2-1} \int_0^{\gamma_1} \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau) V \mathcal{F}_\nu(\tau) d\tau + i^2 \int_0^{\gamma_1} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau) V \mathcal{F}_{m_2}(\tau-s) V e^{isG} ds d\tau, \quad (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

for all integers $m_1, m_2 \geq 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) - \sum_{j=0}^m \mathcal{F}_j(t) \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\leq \int_{\gamma_1}^t \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| V \left(e^{i\tau G} - e^{i\tau G_0} \right) \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_2-1} \left\| \int_0^{\gamma_1} \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau) V \mathcal{F}_\nu(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\quad + \int_0^{\gamma_1} \int_0^\tau \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \|V \mathcal{F}_{m_2}(\tau-s)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \left\| V e^{isG} \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} ds d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\gamma_1}^t \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} \left(e^{i\tau G} - e^{i\tau G_0} \right) \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_2-1} \left\| \int_0^{\gamma_1} \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau) V \mathcal{F}_\nu(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{\gamma_1} \int_0^\tau \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}_{m_2}(\tau-s)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} e^{isG} \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} ds d\tau. \quad (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is proved in [15] (see Proposition 4.1) for potentials satisfying (1.2) with $\delta > \frac{n+2}{2}$ that we have the estimate

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} \left(e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) - e^{itG_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) \right) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C h^{1-\epsilon} t^{-n/2}. \quad (5.6)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} \left(e^{itG} - e^{itG_0} \right) \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \\
&\leq \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-n/2-\epsilon'} \left(e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) - e^{itG_0} \psi(h^2 G_0) \right) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \\
&\quad + C \left\| \psi(h^2 G) - \psi(h^2 G_0) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \left\| e^{itG_0} \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \\
&\leq C h^{1-\epsilon} t^{-n/2} + C h^2 t^{-n/2} \leq C' h^{1-\epsilon} t^{-n/2}. \quad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.7) together with (1.4) and (5.1) we can bound the first integral in the right-hand side of (5.5) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C h^{1-\epsilon} \left(\int_{\gamma_1}^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \tau^{-n/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C h^{1-\epsilon} t^{-n/2} \int_{\gamma_1}^{t/2} \tau^{-n/2} d\tau + C h^{1-\epsilon} t^{-n/2} \int_{t/2}^t \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(t-\tau)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq Ch^{1-\epsilon}t^{-n/2} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} \tau^{-n/2} d\tau + Ch^{1-\epsilon}t^{-n/2} \int_0^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}_{m_1}(\tau')\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} d\tau' \\
&\leq Ch^{1-\epsilon}\gamma^{-(n-2)/2}t^{-n/2} + Ch^{1-\epsilon}t^{-n/2} \leq C'h^{1-\epsilon}\gamma^{-(n-2)/2}t^{-n/2},
\end{aligned}$$

provided m_1 is taken big enough. Furthermore, in view of (1.5), each term in the sum in the right-hand side of (5.5) is bounded by $C\gamma^\epsilon t^{-n/2}$ for all $t > 0$. To bound the last integral we will use the following estimate proved in [15] (see Propositions 2.1 and 4.1)

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-s-1/2-\epsilon} e^{itG} \psi(h^2 G) \right\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \leq Ch^{s-(n-1)/2} |t|^{-s-1/2} \quad (5.8)$$

for every $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $1/2 - \epsilon/4 \leq s \leq (n-1)/2$, $0 < h \ll 1$, $t \neq 0$. Using (5.8) together with (1.4) and (5.1) we bound the integral under question by

$$\begin{aligned}
&Ch^{-(n-2)/2-\epsilon/4}t^{-n/2} \int_0^\gamma \int_0^\tau (\tau-s)^{\epsilon m_2 - n/2} s^{-1+\epsilon/4} ds d\tau \\
&\leq Ch^{-(n-2)/2-\epsilon/4}t^{-n/2} \int_0^\gamma \tau^{\epsilon m_2 - n/2} d\tau \leq C\gamma^{\epsilon m_2 - n/2+1} h^{-(n-2)/2-\epsilon/4} t^{-n/2},
\end{aligned}$$

provided m_2 is taken big enough. Summing up the above estimates, we conclude that the left-hand side of (5.5) is bounded by

$$Ch^{1-\epsilon}\gamma^{-(n-2)/2}t^{-n/2} + C\gamma^\epsilon t^{-n/2} + C\gamma^{\epsilon m_2 - n/2+1} h^{-(n-2)/2-\epsilon/4} t^{-n/2} \quad (5.9)$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. Take $\gamma = h^{1/(n-2)}$ and fix m_2 so that

$$\gamma^{\epsilon m_2 - n/2+1} h^{-(n-2)/2} \leq h.$$

Hence (5.9) is $O(h^\beta)t^{-n/2}$ with some $\beta > 0$, which is the desired result. $\square$

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank William Green for bringing to our attention the papers [4], [5], [17]. The first two authors have been partially supported by the CNPq-Brazil.

References

- [1] F. CARDOSO AND G. VODEV, *Semi-classical dispersive estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with a potential in dimensions $n \geq 4$* , Cubo Math. J. **10** (2008), 1-14.
- [2] F. CARDOSO, C. CUEVAS AND G. VODEV, *Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation in dimensions four and five*, Asymptot. Anal. **62** (2009), 125-145.
- [3] F. CARDOSO, C. CUEVAS AND G. VODEV, *Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation with potentials of critical regularity*, Cubo Math. J. **11** (2009), 57-70.
- [4] M. B. ERDOGAN AND W. R. GREEN, *Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation for $C^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ potentials in odd dimensions*, preprint 2009.
- [5] D. FINCO AND K. YAJIMA, *The L^p boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities II. Even dimensional case*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **13** (2006), 277-346.

- [6] M. GOLDBERG, *Dispersive bounds for the three dimensional Schrödinger equation with almost critical potentials*, GAFA **16** (2006), 517-536.
- [7] M. GOLDBERG AND W. SCHLAG, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three*, Commun. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), 157-178.
- [8] M. GOLDBERG AND M. VISAN, *A counterexample to dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in higher dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **266** (2006), 211-238.
- [9] J.-L. JOURNÉ, A. SOFFER AND C. SOGGE, *Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **44** (1991), 573-604.
- [10] S. MOULIN, *High frequency dispersive estimates in dimension two*, Ann. H. Poincaré **10** (2009), 415-428.
- [11] S. MOULIN AND G. VODEV, *Low-frequency dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger group in higher dimensions*, Asymptot. Anal. **55** (2007), 49-71.
- [12] W. SCHLAG, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in two dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), 87-117.
- [13] I. RODNIANSKI AND W. SCHLAG, *Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), 451-513.
- [14] G. VODEV, *Dispersive estimates of solutions to the Schrödinger equation*, Ann. H. Poincaré **6** (2005), 1179-1196.
- [15] G. VODEV, *Dispersive estimates of solutions to the Schrödinger equation in dimensions $n \geq 4$* , Asymptot. Anal. **49** (2006), 61-86.
- [16] G. VODEV, *Dispersive estimates of solutions to the wave equation with a potential in dimensions $n \geq 4$* , Commun. Partial Diff. Equations **31** (2006), 1709-1733.
- [17] K. YAJIMA, *The L^p boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities I. The odd dimensional case*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **13** (2006), 43-94.

F. Cardoso
 Universidade Federal de Pernambuco,
 Departamento de Matemática,
 CEP. 50540-740 Recife-Pe, Brazil,
 e-mail: fernando@dmat.ufpe.br

C. Cuevas
 Universidade Federal de Pernambuco,
 Departamento de Matemática,
 CEP. 50540-740 Recife-Pe, Brazil,
 e-mail: cch@dmat.ufpe.br

G. Vodev
 Université de Nantes,
 Département de Mathématiques, UMR 6629 du CNRS,
 2, rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208,
 44332 Nantes Cedex 03, France,
 e-mail: vodev@math.univ-nantes.fr